



CS 423

Operating System Design: OS support for Synchronization

Tianyin Xu

* Thanks for Prof. Adam Bates for the slides.

Implementing Synchronization



- Take 1: using memory load/store
 - See too much milk solution/Peterson's algorithm
- Take 2: *(corrected from last class!)*

```
Lock::acquire() {  
    disableInterrupts();  
}
```

```
Lock::release() {  
    enableInterrupts();  
}
```

Above solution “works” on single processor...

Let's write some simple code



Let's write a smarter implementation of acquire/release

- The key idea is to enable interrupts back ASAP

```
Lock::acquire() {  
    disableInterrupts();
```

```
Lock::release() {  
    disableInterrupts();
```

```
;
```

```
    enableInterrupts();
```

```
}
```

```
    enableInterrupts();
```

```
}
```

Let's write some simple code



Let's write a smarter implementation of acquire/release

- The key idea is to enable interrupts back ASAP
- Use queues – ready queue and wait queue

```
Lock::acquire() {  
    disableInterrupts();
```

```
Lock::release() {  
    disableInterrupts();
```

```
    enableInterrupts();
```

```
    enableInterrupts();
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

Let's write some simple code



- Let's use two queues: a read queue and a wait queue
- You can use `queue.add()/remove()`
- Please use 7.5 minutes to write the acquire and release

```
Lock::acquire() {  
    disableInterrupts();
```

```
Lock::release() {  
    disableInterrupts();
```

```
    enableInterrupts();
```

```
    enableInterrupts();
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

Queueing Lock Implementation (1 Proc)



```
Lock::acquire() {
    disableInterrupts();
    if (value == BUSY) {
        waiting.add(myTCB);
        myTCB->state = WAITING;
        next = readyList.remove();
        switch(myTCB, next);
        myTCB->state = RUNNING;
    } else {
        value = BUSY;
    }
    enableInterrupts();
}
```

```
Lock::release() {
    disableInterrupts();
    if (!waiting.Empty()) {
        next = waiting.remove();
        next->state = READY;
        readyList.add(next);
    } else {
        value = FREE;
    }
    enableInterrupts();
}
```

Question



Why won't this work for multiprocessing?

Multiprocessor Sync Tool!



- **Read-modify-write (RMW) instructions**
 - Atomically read a value from memory, operate on it, and then write it back to memory
 - Intervening instructions prevented in hardware
- **Examples**
 - Test and set
 - Intel: xchgb, lock prefix
 - Compare and swap
- **Any of these can be used for implementing locks and condition variables!**

Test-and-set



- The **test-and-set** instruction is an instruction used to write 1 (set) to a memory location and return its old value as a single **atomic** (i.e., non-interruptible) operation. If multiple processes may access the same memory location, and if a process is currently performing a test-and-set, no other process may begin another test-and-set until the first process's test-and-set is finished.
- Please implement a lock using test-and-set (5 minutes)

```
lock:acquire() {
```

```
}
```

```
lock:release() {
```

```
}
```

Spinlocks



- A spinlock is a lock where the processor waits in a loop for the lock to become free
 - Assumes lock will be held for a short time
 - Used to protect the CPU scheduler and to implement locks

```
Spinlock::acquire() {  
    while (testAndSet(&lockValue) == BUSY)  
        ;  
}
```

```
Spinlock::release() {  
    lockValue = FREE;  
    memorybarrier();  
}
```

Question



Neat. So how many spinlocks do we need?

What thread is currently running?



- Thread scheduler needs to find the TCB of the currently running thread
 - To suspend and switch to a new thread
 - To check if the current thread holds a lock before acquiring or releasing it
- On a uniprocessor, easy: just use a global
- On a multiprocessor, various methods:
 - Compiler dedicates a register (e.g., r31 points to TCB running on the this CPU; each CPU has its own r31)
 - If hardware has a special per-processor register, use it
 - Fixed-size stacks: put a pointer to the TCB at the bottom of its stack



Lock implementation —

```
Lock::acquire() {
    disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (value == BUSY) {
        waiting.add(myTCB);
        scheduler->
            suspend(&spinlock);
    } else {
        value = BUSY;
    }
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}
```

```
Lock::release() {
    TCB *next;

    disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (!waiting.Empty()) {
        next = waiting.remove();
        scheduler->makeReady(next);
    } else {
        value = FREE;
    }
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}
```




Lock implementation (7.5 minutes)

```
Lock::acquire() {
    disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (value == BUSY) {
        waiting.add(myTCB);
        scheduler->
            suspend(&spinlock);
    } else {
        value = BUSY;
    }
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}
```

```
Lock::release() {
    TCB *next;

    disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (!waiting.Empty()) {
        next = waiting.remove();
        scheduler->makeReady(next);
    } else {
        value = FREE;
    }
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}
```



Scheduler implementation —

```
Sched::suspend(SpinLock *lock) {
    TCB *next;

    disableInterrupts();
    schedSpinLock.acquire();
    lock->release();
    myTCB->state = WAITING;
    next = readyList.remove();
    thread_switch(myTCB, next);
    myTCB->state = RUNNING;
    schedSpinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}

Sched::makeReady(TCB *thread) {
    disableInterrupts();
    schedSpinLock.acquire();
    readyList.add(thread);
    thread->state = READY;
    schedSpinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}
```


Locks for user space??



- Kernel-managed threads
 - Manage data structures in kernel space
 - System calls to communicate w/ scheduler
- User-managed threads
 - Implement functionality in thread library
 - Can't disable interrupts, but can temporarily disable upcalls to avoid preemption in library scheduler, etc.

Spinning vs Context Switch



- **What's the tradeoff?**

Locks in Linux



- Most locks are free most of the time. Linux implementation takes advantage of this fact!
- Fast path:
 - If lock is FREE, and no one is waiting, two instructions to acquire the lock
 - If no one is waiting, two instructions to release the lock
- Slow path
 - If lock is BUSY or someone is waiting, use multiproc impl.
- User-level locks also optimized:
 - Fast path: count is mapped to proc address space, no sys call needed when count is 0.
 - Slow path: system call to kernel, use kernel lock when waiting

Locks in Linux



Lock struct contains 3 (not two) states...

```
struct mutex {
    /* 1: unlocked ;
       0: locked;
       negative : locked, possible waiters */
    atomic_t count;
    spinlock_t wait_lock;
    struct list_head wait_list;
};
```

Lock acquire code is a macro (to avoid proc call)...

```
lock decl (%eax)                // atomic decrement
                                // %eax is pointer to count
jns 1f                          // jump if not signed
                                // (i.e., if value is now 0)

call slowpath_acquire
1: ...
```

Synchronization: Semaphores



- Semaphore has a non-negative integer value
 - P() atomically waits for value to become > 0 , then decrements
 - V() atomically increments value (waking up waiter if needed)
- Semaphores are like integers except:
 - Only operations are P and V
 - Operations are atomic
 - If value is 1, two P's will result in value 0 and one waiter

Compare Implementations



Lock implementation —

```
Lock::acquire() {
    disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (value == BUSY) {
        waiting.add(myTCB);
        suspend(&spinlock);
    } else {
        value = BUSY;
    }
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}
```

```
Lock::release() {
    disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (!waiting.Empty()) {
        next = waiting.remove();
        scheduler->makeReady(next);
    } else {
        value = FREE;
    }
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}
```

Compare Implementations



Semaphore implementation —

```
Semaphore::P() {
    disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (value == 0) {
        waiting.add(myTCB);
        suspend(&spinlock);
    } else {
        value--;
    }
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}
```

```
Semaphore::V() {
    disableInterrupts();
    spinLock.acquire();
    if (!waiting.Empty()) {
        next = waiting.remove();
        scheduler->makeReady(next);
    } else {
        value++;
    }
    spinLock.release();
    enableInterrupts();
}
```

Semaphores Harmful?



- Semaphores conflate the roles of locks and condition variables (mutual exclusion, shared data).
 - Simpler code verification w/o: *prove every lock is eventually unlocked.*
- Semaphores have state!
 - What does value=3 mean? Programmer must carefully map object state to semaphore value.
 - CVs, in contrast, allows us to wait on arbitrary state/predicate, and are thus a better abstraction.
- However, semaphores have good uses, including...
 - Unlocked waits, e.g., interrupt handler that synchronizes communication between I/O device and waiting threads.

Semaphore Bounded Queue



```
get() {  
    fullSlots.P();  
    mutex.P();  
    item = buf[front % MAX];  
    front++;  
    mutex.V();  
    emptySlots.V();  
    return item;  
}
```

```
put(item) {  
    emptySlots.P();  
    mutex.P();  
    buf[last % MAX] = item;  
    last++;  
    mutex.V();  
    fullSlots.V();  
}
```

Initially: front = last = 0; MAX is buffer capacity
mutex = 1; emptySlots = MAX; fullSlots = 0;



How can we implement Condition Variables using semaphores?

Take 1:

```
wait(lock) {
    lock.release();
    semaphore.P();
    lock.acquire();
}
signal() {
    semaphore.V();
}
```

Problems?



How can we implement Condition Variables using semaphores

Take 2:

```
wait(lock) {
    lock.release();
    semaphore.P();
    lock.acquire();
}
signal() {
    if (semaphore is not empty)
        semaphore.V();
}
```

Problems?

Implementing CVs w/ Semaphores



How can we implement Condition Variables using semaphores

Take 3:

```
wait(lock) {
    semaphore = new Semaphore;
    queue.Append(semaphore);    // queue of waiting threads
    lock.release();
    semaphore.P();
    lock.acquire();
}
signal() {
    if (!queue.Empty()) {
        semaphore = queue.Remove();
        semaphore.V();    // wake up waiter
    }
}
```

Problems?

Implementing CVs w/ Semaphores



Implementation used for Microsoft Windows before native support was offered:

Take 4:

```
//Put thread on queue of waiting threads...
```

```
void CV::wait(Lock *lock){  
    semaphore = new Semaphore(0);  
    waitQueue.Append(semaphore)  
    lock.release();  
    semaphore.P();  
    lock.acquire();  
}
```

```
//Wake up one waiter if any.  
void CV::signal() {  
    if(!waitQueue.isEmpty()) {  
        semaphore = queue.Remove();  
        semaphore.V();  
    }  
}
```